

To whom it may concern,

Freedom of choice. We all appreciate it. My freedom of choice is the option to listen to what I wish. In the case of conventional radio vs. satellite radio, I now, for the first time, have those choices to listen to either one as my constitutional right. As far as the NAB and others lobbying against the ability for XM radio to provide comprehensive coverage of traffic and weather, as well as offering, (for a fee that I for one have agreed upon for this higher quality service), local programming on a national basis, I am emphatically opposed! At this juncture, what XM Satellite Radio is, is a higher quality product which is apparently threatening the inferior product (conventional am/fm broadcasting). I am sure, one would agree, that basic radio offers very little to the listener in quality and selection and has improved their product very little, if at all. Let's be realistic here, this argument isn't about one medium illegally infringing on the other. The crux of this issue is a manufacturer/ service unwilling to improve the quality of its product and instead, putting all it's energy into senseless retribution.

Sincerely,  
GHF